PEACE ENGINEERS

PEACEPROTOCOLS.ORG · THE IMAGINE FREEDOM FUND

The *Peace Protocols*

A Mathematical Blueprint for Regenerative Abundance — from a $2 million fuel barge in Yakutat to a planetary operating system for peace.

A whitepaper presented in the voice of its author: a Tlingit son of Yakutat who watched his community export its salmon-and-crab wealth to foreign fuel suppliers, and who has spent twenty years engineering the way home.

AUTHOR

Raven Rolland Gregg
Keetá Yeìl of the Lukaax̌.ádi Clan
Yakutat Tlingit Tribe · Yakutat, Alaska
Founder, Peace Engineers LLC

IN MEMORIAM & GRATITUDE

Hartford Van Dyke
— who taught me to read the world as a system —
and the elders of the Lukaax̌.ádi

PEACEPROTOCOLS.ORG · FIRST EDITION · MAY 2026

CONTENTS

The Peace Protocols

By **Keetá Yeìl** *of the* **Lukaax̱.ádi Clan**
also known as **Raven Rolland Gregg**, *of the* **MacGregor Clan**
PeaceProtocols.org

i. Foreword: The Two-Million-Dollar Barge

A NOTE BEFORE THE MATHEMATICS

> *King salmon on the table; a two-million-dollar barge in the harbor. Both true, every summer, for as long as I could remember. The first thing my elders taught me was the circle. The second thing I learned, by counting, was that we were leaking.*

I grew up in Yakutat, Alaska, on the Situk River. My mother is Tlingit and Haida; she has seven brothers and a sister, and I am an enrolled member of the Yakutat Tlingit Tribe, of the Lukaax̱.ádi clan. My father is Scottish and Viking. From the year I was born until I was twenty-one, I fished every summer with both sides of my family — purse seining and king-crab fishing on the ocean with my father's people, and salmon on the rivers with my mother's. The Pacific raised me. The salmon, in particular, taught me how to think.

In my Tlingit language there is no word for "trash." There is no *away*. Everything that comes into the village leaves the village again as something useful, or it does not come in. You harvest what you need, you use what you take, and you keep your environment clean — not because it is virtuous, but because there is no other sensible way to live in a watershed you intend to inhabit for ten thousand more years. My elders did not call this sustainability. They called it being a person.

And yet, every summer of my childhood, a barge came into Yakutat harbor carrying diesel. The number I grew up hearing was roughly two million dollars a year — for one small Alaska Native village to keep its generators running, its boats fueled, its houses heated. That figure was not unusual. It was the price of being a remote community on the wrong side of a centralized energy grid. King crab on the boats, king salmon in the smokehouse, and two million dollars a year flowing out of our community into the accounts of a small number of foreign suppliers who would never see our river. We had abundance you could weigh in pounds, and we were paying tribute.

I did not have the words for it as a child. I had the feeling. The feeling was that something in the architecture was wrong — that a people surrounded by salmon, crab, water, wind, and tide should not be hostage to a fuel barge. That feeling is the seed of this paper.

I.1 THREE TEACHERS

As I grew up, three teachers gave me the language for what I had already seen.

The first was the **salmon itself**. I would stand on the bank of the Situk and watch chinook move upstream against current that should, by any reasonable accounting, exhaust them. They did not

seem exhausted. They seemed to be using the current — riding eddies, slipping into the pressure shadows behind boulders, traveling on the river's own structure. Later I would learn that Viktor Schauberger, the Austrian forester, had spent his life studying exactly this: the way water organizes itself in vortices, and the way living systems work *with* flow rather than against it. Schauberger called it *implosion* — energy generated by inward, centripetal, spiraling motion, as opposed to the outward, centrifugal, explosive motion that defines almost all of our industrial machinery (Schauberger, 1933/1998; Coats, 1996). The salmon was Schauberger's textbook, written ten thousand years before he picked up a pen.

The second was **Buckminster Fuller**, encountered in books. Fuller gave me the line that has organized my work ever since: *"You never change things by fighting the existing reality. To change something, build a new model that makes the existing model obsolete"* (Fuller, 1969). Fuller's geodesics, his Spaceship Earth metaphor, and his insistence that scarcity is a problem of *design* rather than a feature of *reality* — all of it confirmed what my elders had taught me by example: a watershed, properly inhabited, is an abundance system. Scarcity is a downstream consequence of designs that ignore the watershed.

The third was **Hartford Van Dyke**, my mentor in commercial law, international law, local currencies, and systems theory. Hartford taught me to read the world as a system. From him I learned that money is a *protocol*, debt is a *circuit element*, and sovereignty is something that can be designed — that the legal and economic plumbing most of us treat as a fixed feature of reality is, in fact, a set of human conventions that can be engineered, swapped, or rebuilt at the community level. Hartford was a controversial figure, and I do not lean on his specific legal conclusions here. What I owe him is the habit of seeing every law, every contract, every currency, and every fuel-barge invoice as a *signal flowing through a circuit* — and the conviction that circuits can be redesigned. The mathematical heart of this paper is, in many ways, the formalization of his lessons.

I.2 WHAT THIS PAPER IS

This paper is the document I wish someone had handed me at sixteen, standing on the dock in Yakutat watching the barge come in. It is an attempt to do, with mathematics, what my elders did with story: to show that abundance is the natural state of a properly designed system, and that scarcity is what happens when the design has been hijacked.

It is structured around two scaffoldings. The **Seven Sovereign Bodies** — spiritual, mental, emotional, physical, economic, cultural, political — describe the inner sovereignty of human beings. The **Twelve Resource Realms** — energy, water, food, health, shelter, waste, education, communication, transportation, manufacturing, economics, governance — describe the outer sovereignty of communities. Together, they map the architecture of a watershed that knows how to stay one.

The mathematics is borrowed, in deliberate inversion, from a 20th-century document called *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars*, which I will discuss in §1. The technologies are real and being deployed (see

the work of Peace Engineers LLC, Royal Engineering Tech, Royal Energy Club, and the Imagine Freedom Fund). The deployment model is the **7,777 Peace Engineers** corps — fifty-four regional chapters of one hundred forty-four members each, plus one keystone coherence node.

The argument can be stated in a single sentence:

> *If you reduce the dependency of a watershed on imports it can grow, harvest, generate, or build for itself, the watershed becomes both more peaceful and more economically efficient — and this can be measured, proved, and replicated.*

That is the Peace Protocols. The rest of this paper shows the math.

I.3 A WORD ON VOICE

I have written this paper in the first person, because the original anonymously authored document it answers was written in the cold third person of a control engineer addressing a target. I am not a control engineer addressing a target. I am a son of Yakutat addressing my relatives, my colleagues, and the watershed itself. Where the math is dense, I have tried to keep it honest. Where the math gets ahead of the evidence, I have tried to mark the road. Where the elders' wisdom and the equations agree — which is most of the time — I have let them sit together.

I am also writing under two names. *Keetá Yeìl* is the name I carry in my mother's tongue. *Raven Rolland Gregg* is the name on my U.S. driver's license. Both are mine. Both are signed at the bottom of every page that follows.

— Keetá Yeìl *of the* Lukaax̱.ádi Clan,
also known as Raven Rolland Gregg IV *of the* MacGregor Clan
Yakutat, Alaska · May 2026

I. From Silent Weapons to Peace Protocols

1.1 THE DOCUMENT BEHIND THE INVERSION

In 1979, a document surfaced bearing the title *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars* (hereafter SWFQW). It was anonymously authored, technically dense, and politically chilling. Whether genuine policy paper or sophisticated fabrication — and reasonable people disagree — its *structural argument* is what matters here. SWFQW proposed that human societies could be modeled and managed using the same mathematics that governs electrical circuits.

In its framing, households were modeled as *loads*; currencies were modeled as *capacitors*; labor was modeled as *inductors*. By deliberately manipulating the flows of energy, credit, and information through this circuit — by introducing "shocks" of food shortage, fuel price spikes, or interest-rate movement — a sufficiently sophisticated controller could induce predictable oscillations in the population: compliance, desperation, debt-bondage, revolt. The mathematics of control theory, applied to people.

What makes SWFQW worth reading is not its morality, which is monstrous, but its *systems rigor*. It recognized something true: that complex societies *do* behave like flow networks, and that those flows can be analyzed with the same tools we use for engineered systems. Capacitance, conductance, and inductance are useful metaphors for the accumulation, flow, and delay of social and economic energies.

The diesel barge I grew up watching is a textbook SWFQW signal. Every barge that came into Yakutat was a controlled flow of dependency: a shipment of stored solar energy from a foreign field, sold to my village at a price set by a market my elders had no vote in, paid for by exporting wealth that should have stayed in our watershed. We were, by SWFQW's own definitions, a perfectly conditioned load.

1.2 THE INVERSION

The Peace Protocols adopt SWFQW's mathematics and invert its purpose. Where SWFQW asked *how do we amplify scarcity to maximize control*, the Peace Protocols ask *how do we engineer abundance to maximize sovereignty*? The hypothesis is straightforward but radical:

> *When essential provisioning is decentralized across the 12 Resource Realms, and when sovereignty is restored across the 7 Sovereign Bodies, the resulting system enters a stable*

> *equilibrium that is measurably superior — faster to recover, harder to destabilize, and inherently more humane.*

This is not a moral preference dressed up as physics. It is a claim about the dynamics of flow networks, and it can be tested. The same equations that describe how SWFQW destabilizes a population describe how the Peace Protocols stabilize one — with the sign of the relevant terms reversed. The argument of this paper is that the abundance configuration is not just ethically better; it is the *efficient attractor* of the equations themselves.

1.3 REGENERATIVE CIRCUIT INVERSION

Electronic circuits give us a natural metaphor for value flows in a community. SWFQW used three of these — capacitance, conductance, inductance — as tools of leverage. The Peace Protocols reinterpret each one:

- **Capacitance (C_e).** In electronics, capacitance is the ability to store charge. In a community, it is the stock of locally owned regenerative infrastructure — solar microgrids, atmospheric water harvesters, aquaponic systems, granaries, basalt-fiber composite stockpiles, modular shelter. These are buffers. They hold potential for use later, including under shock.
- **Conductance (G_e).** Conductance is the ease with which current flows. In a community, it is the efficiency of local flows — short supply chains, open-source communication, transparent exchanges, mesh networks, peer governance. Higher conductance reduces friction loss and accelerates response to need.
- **Inductance (L_e).** Inductance is resistance to sudden change in current — inertia. In a community, it is the creative inertia of purpose-aligned culture and labor — the deep skill, ceremony, and identity that allow a watershed to absorb a shock without losing its shape. Inductance is what made my elders my elders.

Scarcity architectures exploit these elements to amplify oscillation: savings become hostage to inflation, supply chains are throttled through monopolies, and cultural inertia is twisted into compliance with extractive law. Regenerative architectures tune the same elements to dampen disturbances and sustain abundance cycles. This is consistent with what Sally Goerner and her colleagues describe in *flow-network science*: healthy networks balance efficiency, resilience, and inclusivity (Goerner, Lietaer, & Ulanowicz, 2009; Goerner, 2015).

The rest of this paper develops the inversion formally. §2 builds the core indices — the Peace Efficiency Index and the Community Vitality Index — and proves a stability theorem that names, precisely, the conditions under which the abundance configuration is the dynamical attractor of the system. §3 unpacks the human dimension (the 7 Sovereign Bodies). §4 unpacks the provisioning dimension (the 12 Resource Realms). §5 inverts SWFQW's "shock testing" doctrine into a positive design tool. §6 lays out the deployment model. §7 specifies how the framework can be falsified in the

field. §8 places it inside the wider conversation of flow-network science and resonance physics. §9 closes.

— **Keetá Yeìl**, *Lukaax̌.ádi* · **Rolland M. Gregg IV**, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

2. The Mathematical Framework

2.1 THE PEACE EFFICIENCY INDEX (P_E)

Define the Peace Efficiency Index P_e as the sum, across the 12 Resource Realms, of each realm's regenerative output relative to its dependency on centralized provisioning:

PEACE EFFICIENCY INDEX

$$P_e = \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{R_i}{D_i}, \quad D_i > 0$$

R_i: *regenerative output of realm i (e.g., kWh of local energy surplus, liters of potable water, kcal of locally-grown food, m² of regenerative shelter).* **D_i**: *dependency factor of realm i on centralized or imported provisioning.*

Interpretation. P_e rises whenever a realm becomes *more* productive locally, or *less* dependent on imports, or both. As $D_i \to 0$ in any realm where $R_i > 0$, that realm's term grows without bound. Of course no real community drives dependency exactly to zero — there will always be some trade, some exchange, some chosen interdependence with neighbors — and the unbounded growth in the limit is best read as *directional* rather than literal: it tells us which way the gradient points, not that infinity is a destination. The practical content is sharper. *The marginal value of cutting one more unit of dependency rises as dependency falls.* The last mile of energy sovereignty is worth more than the first.

This matters concretely in places like Yakutat. When diesel is replacing 100% of generation, replacing the first 20% with a microgrid is genuinely useful but does not change the strategic position of the village. When diesel is replacing 10%, replacing the last 10% transforms the village's negotiating posture with every supplier, every regulator, and every season. P_e's divergence near $D_i = 0$ captures that.

2.2 THE COMMUNITY VITALITY INDEX (CVI)

P_e measures the *outer* sovereignty of a community — its provisioning. We pair it with the Community Vitality Index, which measures the *inner* sovereignty — the human flourishing of the people who live inside that provisioning:

COMMUNITY VITALITY INDEX

$$CVI = \frac{H + D_g + F}{S + D_b + D_e}$$

Numerator — *H: health (preventive + regenerative). D_g: dignity (cultural integrity, social inclusion). F: freedom (political agency, economic autonomy).*
Denominator — *S: scarcity. D_b: debt burden. D_e: dependency.*

Interpretation. CVI is a resilience indicator. It rises when health, dignity, and freedom are growing faster than scarcity, debt, and dependency. If the denominator approaches zero — scarcity eliminated, debt forgiven, dependencies removed — CVI diverges in the same directional sense as P_e. If the numerator collapses, CVI collapses to zero regardless of how much wealth flows through the community. A village can be cash-rich and CVI-poor, and many extractive economies are: there is money on the table and shame in the room. The Yakutat I grew up in was the reverse: cash-poor by the metrics of the dominant economy, but with H, D_g, and F running high. CVI says that mattered.

2.3 STABILITY: WHY ABUNDANCE IS THE ATTRACTOR

SWFQW assumes societies are unstable enough to be steered. The Peace Protocols make a stronger claim: that *scarcity* architectures are unstable, and *abundance* architectures are stable, in the technical sense used in dynamical systems and control theory.

Control-theoretic intuition. Scarcity-driven systems tend to push the eigenvalues of their state matrix near the imaginary axis — that is, toward sustained oscillation. Shocks ring; they don't decay. Regenerative, decentralized provisioning *increases the effective damping ratio* (ζ) of the system: disturbances decay rather than amplify, because each shock is buffered by local capacitance, redirected by local conductance, and held in place by cultural inductance.

Network-theoretic intuition. A network's *algebraic connectivity* (λ_2, the second-smallest eigenvalue of the graph Laplacian) measures how strongly the network resists being cut into disconnected pieces. Decentralization *raises* λ_2 while *lowering* hub-betweenness — the share of all shortest paths that pass through any single node. Concretely: a village with one fuel barge and one cell tower has high hub-betweenness in two realms at once, and one bad day can sever it from the world. A village with a microgrid, a community well, a local maker space, and a mesh network has lower hub-betweenness in each realm and a higher λ_2 in the network as a whole. It is structurally harder to break.

2.4 THEOREM: ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF THE ABUNDANCE EQUILIBRIUM

We now make the qualitative claim of §2.3 precise. The argument that abundance is the system's attractor under regenerative feedback can be stated as a theorem about a specific coupled dynamical system, with explicit hypotheses and a clean proof.

The system. For analytical purposes it is convenient to work with the bounded sovereignty fraction $s_i = R_i/(R_i+D_i) \in [0,1]$, so that $s_i \to 1$ corresponds to full local sovereignty ($D_i \to 0$) and $s_i \to 0$ to full dependency. Let $\kappa(t) \in [0,1]$ denote the normalized Community Vitality Index. The coupled dynamics on the closed unit hypercube $[0,1]^{12} \times [0,1]$ are:

EQUATION (1) — REALM DYNAMICS

$$\frac{ds_i}{dt} = \alpha_i\, s_i(1 - s_i) + \gamma_i\, \kappa\, (1 - s_i), \qquad i = 1, \ldots, 12$$

EQUATION (2) — VITALITY DYNAMICS

$$\frac{d\kappa}{dt} = -\lambda\, \kappa + \lambda \cdot \sum_i w_i\, s_i$$

with parameters $\alpha_i > 0$ (intrinsic regenerative growth rate of realm i), $\gamma_i \geq 0$ (coupling from coherence to realm i), $\lambda > 0$ (vitality response rate), and $w_i \geq 0$ with $\sum w_i = 1$ (realm weights into vitality). Equation (1) says each realm's sovereignty grows logistically toward its ceiling of 1, accelerated by community vitality. Equation (2) says vitality relaxes toward a weighted average of current realm sovereignties.

Equilibria. Setting both rates to zero, the feasible region admits exactly two fixed points: the *Scarcity Equilibrium* $\mathbf{x}_S = (0, \ldots, 0; 0)$ and the *Abundance Equilibrium* $\mathbf{x}_A = (1, \ldots, 1; 1)$.

THEOREM 1 — ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF THE ABUNDANCE EQUILIBRIUM

Consider the dynamical system (1)–(2). Suppose $\alpha_i > 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then:

(a) The Abundance Equilibrium $\mathbf{x}_A$ is locally asymptotically stable.

(b) For any initial condition $(\mathbf{s}(0), \kappa(0))$ with $s_i(0) > 0$ for all i and $\kappa(0) \geq 0$, the trajectory $(\mathbf{s}(t), \kappa(t))$ converges to $\mathbf{x}_A$ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof.

Step 1: Forward invariance of the feasible region. The set $[0,1]^{12} \times [0,1]$ is positively invariant under (1)–(2). At $s_i = 0$, $ds_i/dt = \gamma_i \kappa \geq 0$; at $s_i = 1$, $ds_i/dt = 0$. At $\kappa = 0$, $d\kappa/dt = \lambda \sum w_i s_i \geq 0$; at $\kappa = 1$, $d\kappa/dt = \lambda(\sum w_i s_i - 1) \leq 0$. The boundary is never escaped.

Step 2: Local stability via linearization. Let $u_i = s_i - 1$ and $v = \kappa - 1$. Expanding (1)–(2) to first order about $\mathbf{x}_A$:

$$du_i/dt = -(\alpha_i + \gamma_i)\, u_i + O(u_i^2, u_i v); \quad dv/dt = -\lambda v + \lambda \sum w_i u_i$$

The Jacobian at $\mathbf{x}_A$ is therefore block-lower-triangular: a diagonal 12×12 block with entries $-(\alpha_i + \gamma_i)$ along the realm states, a row of couplings λw_i from realm states into the vitality state, and a diagonal entry $-\lambda$ for the vitality state. The spectrum of a block-triangular matrix is the union of the spectra of its diagonal blocks, so the eigenvalues are exactly

$$\mu_i = -(\alpha_i + \gamma_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, 12; \quad \mu_{13} = -\lambda.$$

By hypothesis $\alpha_i > 0$, $\gamma_i \geq 0$, and $\lambda > 0$, so every eigenvalue has strictly negative real part. By the Hartman–Grobman theorem, $\mathbf{x}_A$ is locally asymptotically stable. This establishes (a). □

Step 3: Basin of attraction. The system has a cascade structure: the realm dynamics drive vitality, but the realm dynamics depend on vitality only through the non-negative input $\gamma_i \kappa$. From Step 1, $\kappa(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore for each i,

$$ds_i/dt = (1 - s_i)(\alpha_i s_i + \gamma_i \kappa) \geq (1 - s_i)\alpha_i s_i.$$

The right-hand side is the standard logistic equation with rate $\alpha_i > 0$ and carrying capacity 1. By comparison, any solution with $s_i(0) > 0$ satisfies $s_i(t) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. As all twelve $s_i(t) \to 1$, equation (2) becomes asymptotically $d\kappa/dt = \lambda(1 - \kappa)$, whose unique bounded solution converges to $\kappa = 1$. Therefore $(\mathbf{s}(t), \kappa(t)) \to \mathbf{x}_A$, establishing (b). ■

The conclusion of Theorem 1 is that, under the stated parameter conditions, the Abundance Equilibrium is the unique attractor of the system from any state with positive sovereignty in every realm. The Scarcity Equilibrium $\mathbf{x}_S$ is, by the same linearization, an unstable fixed point: its Jacobian has eigenvalues $+\alpha_i$ (positive) and $-\lambda$ (negative), so it is a saddle. Any perturbation away from total scarcity that introduces sovereignty in at least one realm sets the system on a trajectory toward $\mathbf{x}_A$.

2.5 INTERPRETATION: WHAT THE HYPOTHESES MEAN

The mathematical conditions of Theorem 1 — $\alpha_i > 0$ for every realm and $\lambda > 0$ — have direct operational meaning. They are not exotic. They are the operational definition of what makes a regenerative architecture *regenerative*.

$\alpha_i > 0$ says that locally generated regenerative output, once produced, reinforces the capacity to produce more. A working solar microgrid expands the local skill base for building the next one. A successful first-salmon ceremony strengthens the practice of the next year's ceremony. A community well that delivers reliably becomes the template for the next community well. Regenerative provisioning, when it works, compounds — and this compounding is precisely what $\alpha_i > 0$ encodes.

$\lambda > 0$ says that community vitality responds to current conditions — that gains in sovereignty register in the lived experience of the people inside the system, raising health, dignity, and freedom, rather than silently leaking away. A village whose microgrid comes online but whose people do not feel any improvement in their dignity or agency is a village whose λ has been driven near zero by some other process. A village that *does* feel the improvement — that celebrates it, talks about it, builds on it — has a healthy λ.

When these two conditions hold across all twelve realms and the human dimension, Theorem 1 applies. The community is in the basin of attraction of abundance. The dynamics carry it home.

2.6 THE PROBABILITY GRADIENT AND WHAT WOULD DISRUPT IT

Theorem 1 is a result about a deterministic dynamical system. Real communities operate under noise — parameter estimates fluctuate, unmodeled disturbances arrive, the seven Bodies and twelve Realms are reclaimed by degrees rather than all at once. The correct way to read the theorem in the wild is therefore as a *gradient*, not a guarantee: the closer a real community is to satisfying the hypotheses, the more strongly the dynamics pull it toward abundance, and the harder it becomes to disrupt.

By negating the hypotheses, the theorem also tells us precisely what disruption would require:

- **To drive $\alpha_i \leq 0$** in any realm requires sustained external action against the regenerative feedback in that realm — extraction faster than replenishment, suppression of local production capacity, deliberate sabotage of skill transfer, monopoly pressure that prevents local manufacturing from becoming self-reinforcing. None of these arise from local accident or natural drift. Each requires a continuous, observable, exogenous force.
- **To drive $\lambda \leq 0$** requires sustained intervention to decouple human flourishing from material sovereignty — narrative capture, debt overhang, social fragmentation engineered faster than the watershed can heal. Again: not a passive failure. An active, identifiable program.

This is the precise mathematical content of the claim that *peace, properly engineered, is hard to break.* When the seven Sovereign Bodies are reclaimed and the twelve Resource Realms are decentralized — when the regenerative feedbacks are intact, when $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ across the architecture — Theorem 1 holds, and the abundance attractor is locally asymptotically stable with a basin that includes any state of positive sovereignty. Disrupting such a community is not the work of a bad season or a bad year. It requires *overt, sustained, exogenous action* against the very feedbacks that make the community regenerative.

This is not a claim of inevitability. It is a claim about the gradient: under the engineered conditions, the probability mass of trajectories runs strongly toward abundance, and disruption requires an identifiable adversary doing identifiable work. A community that has reclaimed its bodies and its realms can *name* what would have to happen for it to be unmade. That is itself a form of sovereignty the elders would recognize.

The Yakutat fuel-barge configuration sits at an unstable saddle — close to the Scarcity Equilibrium, with sovereignty suppressed by an external dependency that requires the barge to keep arriving. Remove the barge — replace it with a regenerative stack that satisfies $\alpha_i > 0$ — and the trajectory is set. The math agrees with what the elders already knew.

— **Keetá Yeìl**, *Lukaax̱.ádi* · **Rolland M. Gregg IV**, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

3. The Seven Sovereign Bodies

Material provisioning alone is not peace. A village with full bellies and broken hearts is not a sovereign village. The Peace Protocols therefore name **seven Sovereign Bodies** — interdependent domains of being whose combined health defines the vitality of an individual and a community. Each body is paired with a measurable index so the qualitative can be quantified, and so CVI can be computed in practice rather than philosophized about.

Body I
Spiritual — Coherence with Source

Body II
Mental — Systems Literacy

Body III
Emotional — Heart Coherence

Body IV
Physical — Resource Security

Body V
Economic — Freedom from Debt

Body VI
Cultural — Identity and Story

Body VII
Political — Decentralized Governance

3.1 SPIRITUAL BODY — COHERENCE WITH SOURCE

The Spiritual Body measures alignment of individual purpose with collective mission. Unlike material flows, spiritual coherence is not consumed in use; it amplifies through resonance. We define a **Spiritual Coherence Index** as a function of phase alignment θ_{coh} across individuals: as the distribution of personal purpose narrows around shared mission, S_c rises. Empirical proxies include participation in shared ritual, self-reported purpose alignment, and biometric measures such as group HRV phase-locking (McCraty & Childre, 2010).

In Yakutat we did not call it "coherence." We called it *the way we do things in the village*. But the measurable phenomenon — that communities with shared ritual and shared work absorb shocks without losing identity — is robust and crosses cultures.

3.2 MENTAL BODY — SYSTEMS LITERACY

The Mental Body measures not how much is learned but how much is *used*. We define **Information Flow Efficiency (IFE)** as the ratio of knowledge applied to knowledge consumed. An IFE near 1 means a community converts almost all of what it learns into practice. An IFE near 0 signals an extractive education system: people credentialed and underemployed.

Hartford Van Dyke's primary lesson to me was an IFE lesson. He insisted that legal and economic theory was useless until it was wired into a working community arrangement — a currency in circulation, a contract being honored, a council making a decision. That insistence is the soul of this index.

3.3 EMOTIONAL BODY — HEART COHERENCE

The Emotional Body is measured through heart-rate variability coherence (HRV_{coh}) — a well-studied biomarker of autonomic balance and resilience under stress (Lehrer & Gevirtz, 2014). HRV coherence rises with practiced positive emotional states (gratitude, compassion, appreciation) and falls under chronic stress. At the community scale, group HRV synchrony during shared events has been documented in the HeartMath research program.

Emotional coherence is not a luxury index. Communities with high E_c make better decisions under pressure, trust each other more, and recover from setbacks faster — and these effects show up downstream in P_e as well as CVI.

3.4 PHYSICAL BODY — RESOURCE SECURITY

The Physical Body covers direct access to food, water, shelter, and healthcare. We define **Local Resource Autonomy (LRA)** as the fraction of vital resources met locally: an LRA of 1 is a fully sovereign community; an LRA below 0.5 signals dangerous dependency. LRA feeds directly into P_e by reducing D_i across multiple realms simultaneously.

The Yakutat I grew up in had an LRA paradox: very high for food (king salmon, halibut, deer, berries — most of our calories came from within five miles of the village) and very low for energy (the barge). It was the energy gap that exported the wealth. LRA shows you, by realm, where the leak is.

3.5 ECONOMIC BODY — FREEDOM FROM DEBT

David Graeber's *Debt: The First 5,000 Years* (2011) is the canonical modern treatment of how debt functions as a mechanism of social control. We define **Debt Freedom Ratio**: $DFR = 1 - (Debt / Income)$. DFR = 1 means no debt; DFR = 0 means full enslavement to creditors; DFR < 0 means net negative — you owe more than you earn, which is the modal condition of much of the U.S. and most of the global south.

A high DFR is mathematically peace-promoting, not merely ethically attractive: every dollar not committed to debt service is a dollar available for regenerative reinvestment, which in turn raises both P_e (more local R_i) and CVI (lower D_b).

3.6 CULTURAL BODY — IDENTITY AND STORY

The Cultural Body holds the continuity of meaning. We define a **Cultural Continuity Index (CCI)**: traditions preserved plus innovations adopted, divided by total cultural assets. A high CCI describes a community that holds its past while choosing its future — language taught, ceremonies practiced, new art made.

For the Lukaax̱.ádi, CCI is not metaphorical. The language is endangered; the ceremonies require active stewardship. Every elder we lose without transferring their knowledge is a drop in our CCI denominator and a real reduction in our resilience. Cultural sovereignty is operational sovereignty.

3.7 POLITICAL BODY — DECENTRALIZED GOVERNANCE

The Political Body measures sovereignty through participation. We define **Sovereignty Participation Ratio (SPR)**: the fraction of community members actively engaged in self-governance — local councils, participatory budgeting, cooperative decision-making, equity-based law. As SPR rises toward 1, political entropy falls, decisions gain legitimacy, and the network's algebraic connectivity λ_2 rises with it (the more nodes engaged in deciding, the harder the network is to capture).

3.8 SYNTHESIS

Each Body contributes to CVI directly and to P_e indirectly. Food sovereignty (Physical) reduces D_i in the Food Realm. Cultural vitality (Cultural) sustains the Emotional and Mental Bodies against disinformation. Political participation (Political) raises both CVI and λ_2. The seven Bodies are not parallel; they are *coupled oscillators*, and they move together. This coupling is what makes the framework an architecture rather than a list.

— Keetá Yeìl, *Lukaax̱.ádi* · Rolland M. Gregg IV, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

4. The Twelve Resource Realms

If the seven Sovereign Bodies are the inner sovereignty of a person, the twelve Resource Realms are the outer sovereignty of a community. Each realm carries an index that drops out of a watershed's actual behavior — what it grows, generates, builds, communicates, decides — and each index can be measured today, with current instruments, by anyone willing to count.

Realm I Energy — EAR	**Realm II** Water — WSI
Realm III Food — LNR	**Realm IV** Health — WAI
Realm V Shelter — HIS	**Realm VI** Waste — CI
Realm VII Education — KLI	**Realm VIII** Communication — FFR
Realm IX Transportation — MAF	**Realm X** Manufacturing — RPR
Realm XI Economics — AFI	**Realm XII** Governance — JCI

4.1 ENERGY — ENERGY AUTONOMY RATIO (EAR)

$EAR = E_{local} / E_{demand}$. The fraction of energy demand met by local generation. Yakutat's EAR was near zero in my childhood; the barge supplied virtually everything. The technologies that change this in our deployment are catalyzed-fusion power systems licensed from ENG8, hybrid solar-wind microgrids with battery and hydrogen storage, and the inductive-pulse-charging research work made open at IPC.engineer. EAR is the lead index because it sits upstream of nearly every other realm: water pumping, food preservation, communication, transportation, and manufacturing all depend on it.

4.2 WATER — WATER SOVEREIGNTY INDEX (WSI)

$WSI = W_{regen} / W_{consumed}$. The fraction of water consumed that is locally harvested or regenerated. Technologies span atmospheric water generation, greywater recycling loops, bio-remediation wetlands, and renewable-powered membrane desalination. A high WSI removes one of the most common upstream drivers of geopolitical conflict in the historical record.

4.3 FOOD — LOCAL NUTRITION RATIO (LNR)

$LNR = Food_{local} / Food_{total}$. The fraction of caloric and nutritional intake supplied locally. Technologies include aquaponics and hydroponics, soil remineralization with basalt amendments, sea-kelp farms producing both food and ethanol, and controlled-environment biodomes for year-round growing in cold climates. A high LNR not only resists supply shocks but reinforces cultural identity through traditional diets — for us, salmon, halibut, hooligan, berry, root, wild meat.

4.4 HEALTH — WELLNESS AUTONOMY INDEX (WAI)

WAI = (Preventive + Regenerative care) / Total care. Pharmaceutical-industrial medicine concentrates health decisions in a centralized provisioning system. A regenerative model emphasizes prevention, nutrition, lifestyle, and community-scale health infrastructure (HRV biofeedback, hydrogen-rich water therapies, community clinics). A WAI above 0.5 signals a community oriented toward sovereign health.

4.5 SHELTER — HOUSING INDEPENDENCE SCORE (HIS)

HIS = Regen units / Total housing. The fraction of dwellings that are themselves resource-sovereign — built with local materials, integrating their own energy, water, and waste systems. Technologies include modular basalt-composite building blocks ("Wise Beams & Wise Walls"), passive-plus designs, and off-grid integrated shelter units. A high HIS breaks the cycle of rent extraction and housing insecurity.

4.6 WASTE — CIRCULARITY INDEX (CI)

CI = Reused / Discarded. Recall: in my mother's language there is no word for "trash." High CI is the formalization of that worldview — pyrolysis converting plastics back to fuel, composting integrated with food production, industrial symbiosis where the output of one process is the feedstock of another. A high CI doesn't just reduce environmental burden; it raises P_e by converting former liabilities into local R_i.

4.7 EDUCATION — KNOWLEDGE LIBERATION INDEX (KLI)

KLI = Critical thinkers / Learners. The fraction of learners who become empowered actors rather than passive credential-holders. The Peace Engineer curriculum is designed for KLI, not IFE alone: graduates are expected to commission infrastructure, write contracts, run a council, and teach the next cohort.

4.8 COMMUNICATION — FREEDOM OF FLOW RATIO (FFR)

FFR = Unrestricted info / Total info. Decentralized communication — mesh networks, open-source protocols, end-to-end encryption — defends a community's narrative from capture. A high FFR sustains informed decision-making and therefore both SPR (Body VII) and CVI.

4.9 TRANSPORTATION — MOBILITY AUTONOMY FRACTION (MAF)

MAF = Sustainable miles / Total miles. The fraction of person-miles and freight-miles served by clean local mobility. Electric vehicles drawing from local microgrids, hydrogen propulsion for marine and heavy applications, shared-mobility platforms inside the community. The basalt-composite vessels of Basalt Yachts are the marine-grade expression of MAF.

4.10 MANUFACTURING — REGENERATIVE PRODUCTION RATIO (RPR)

RPR = Local production / Local demand. Manufacturing sovereignty. 3D printing for local fabrication, community maker-spaces, and — critically — the basalt-fiber composite manufacturing being commercialized by Royal Engineering Tech and Royal Forge Tech, which turns volcanic rock into structural material on the same coast where we mine and ship.

4.11 ECONOMICS — ABUNDANCE FINANCE INDEX (AFI)

AFI = Value created / Debt issued. A community whose AFI is above 1 is generating more real value than the credit it consumes; a community below 1 is leaking into Body V (the Debt-Freedom Ratio). Technologies include local treasuries, commons-backed credit, community currencies, and mutual-aid systems — the working terrain Hartford Van Dyke spent his life mapping.

4.12 GOVERNANCE — JUSTICE COHERENCE INDEX (JCI)

JCI = Equity decisions / Total decisions. The fraction of governance decisions that produce equitable outcomes. Equity-based law, restorative justice systems, and explicit sovereignty charters. A high JCI is what makes the difference between governance as administration and governance as legitimacy.

4.13 SYNTHESIS

When the indices of all twelve realms rise, the dependencies D_i in the Peace Efficiency equation fall in concert. Each realm also feeds one or more of the seven Sovereign Bodies, raising CVI as a side effect. The twelve realms and seven bodies are not parallel scaffoldings; they are *interlocking attractors*. The Peace Mandala is what we call the resulting diagram: 12 realms on the outside, 7 bodies on the inside, every line connected to every other line. It is the shape of a sovereign watershed.

— **Keetá Yeìl**, *Lukaax̱.ádi* · **Rolland M. Gregg IV**, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

5. Shock Testing Reversal: Coherence as Design

5.1 THE SWFQW DOCTRINE

One of SWFQW's signature methods was "shock testing": deliberately introducing scarcity inputs — fuel shortages, interest-rate spikes, food-price surges — to observe and calibrate population response. Each shock was a negative step input into the human circuit, designed to drive the CVI denominator ($S + D_b + D_e$) up while pulling the numerator ($H + D_g + F$) down. The intended outcome was predictable behavior: dependency, compliance, and the gradual surrender of sovereignty in exchange for stability.

The historical record contains many such shocks — the 1973 oil embargo, the 2008 financial crisis, the 2020–22 supply-chain disruptions. I will not adjudicate which were deliberate and which were systemic accidents. Whether engineered or emergent, their *signature* on CVI is the same: numerator down, denominator up, recovery delayed.

5.2 COHERENCE SHOCKS: THE INVERSION

The Peace Protocols invert the doctrine by introducing *positive* step inputs — coherence shocks — that drive the CVI numerator *up* and the denominator *down*. Define the resilience delta:

RESILIENCE DELTA

$$S_r = \Delta C_{pos} - \Delta C_{neg}$$

ΔC_{pos}: gain in community coherence from positive interventions. ΔC_{neg}: loss in community coherence from negative shocks. When $S_r > 0$ on average, the community's trajectory drifts upward.

Coherence shocks are concrete events. Examples that have actually worked in communities I've watched:

- **A regenerator coming online.** The day a microgrid begins exporting surplus to neighboring households is a free-energy day. ΔC_{pos} is large; households feel the change at the meter.
- **A debt jubilee.** Forgiveness of exploitative debt — at the household, community, or institutional level — instantly raises DFR, which raises CVI.
- **A harvest festival or surplus-sharing event.** Food sovereignty celebrated in public synchronizes the community's emotional state. HRV synchrony has been observed to rise across populations during such events (McCraty & Childre, 2010).

— **A universal service day.** A whole community acting in concert — river cleanup, tree planting, building raising, ceremony — produces a coherence pulse that decays slowly.

5.3 EMPIRICAL BASIS: HRV AND STRESS RECOVERY

The HeartMath research program and the broader heart-rate-variability literature establish that HRV coherence is a robust biomarker of resilience under stress, and that practiced positive emotional states elevate it (Lehrer & Gevirtz, 2014). Translated to the community scale: scarcity shocks drive cortisol up and HRV coherence down, slowing recovery; coherence shocks drive oxytocin and HRV coherence up, accelerating it. Mathematically, this is equivalent to *increasing the damping ratio* ζ in the system's transfer function — disturbances decay faster, overshoot is smaller, equilibrium is restored sooner.

5.4 IMPLICATIONS

Three statements follow.

1. *Scarcity shocks are not inevitable.* Whether deliberate or emergent, their signature is engineered, and they can be resisted with design rather than only with policy.
2. *Coherence shocks can be engineered as well.* Festivals, jubilees, free-energy days, and service days are coherence shocks. They are not soft. They are *system inputs*, and their dynamics can be modeled and measured.
3. A *civilization that systematically programs coherence shocks evolves toward higher CVI and* P_e. The inversion of SWFQW's "shock doctrine" is not symbolic. It is the *same mathematics*, with the sign of the input reversed.

This is also where Yakutat instructs the rest of us. Our village had centuries of coherence shocks built into its calendar — the first salmon ceremony, the potlatch, the long collective work of stocking the smokehouse before winter. These were not quaint cultural artifacts. They were instruments of resilience design, finely tuned over generations. The Peace Protocols ask other communities to recover and engineer the same kind of calendar.

— **Keetá Yeìl**, *Lukaax̱.ádi* · **Rolland M. Gregg IV**, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

6. Deployment: 7,777 Without Hierarchy

6.1 WHY THIS NUMBER

In designing a global peace architecture, one must balance **local trust** against **global synchronization**. Robin Dunbar's well-known empirical work (1992) suggests humans maintain stable trust networks at roughly 150 members; beyond that, cohesion decays unless supported by institutional scaffolding. The Peace Protocols therefore adopt a fractal structure: **54 regional chapters of 144 Peace Engineers each**, with a single **keystone coherence node** — a non-hierarchical resonance steward — completing the count to 7,777.

DEPLOYMENT ARITHMETIC

$$54 \times 144 = 7{,}776 \implies 7{,}776 + 1 = 7{,}777$$

54 chapters · 144 members each · 1 keystone resonance node = 7,777 total Peace Engineers.

The number has the additional property of being culturally and symbolically loaded — seven appears across many traditions as a marker of wholeness, and tripled it suggests resonance across dimensions. We chose it because it works mathematically (Dunbar-compatible, divisible into the 12 realms, factorable into the 7 bodies) and because numbers that mean something to people are easier to organize around than numbers that don't.

6.2 CHAPTER STRUCTURE

Each chapter is designed as a *sovereignty cell*: large enough to embody all 12 Resource Realms, small enough to maintain direct accountability.

- **Size.** 144 members per chapter.
- **Sub-circles.** Twelve teams of approximately twelve members each, one per Resource Realm.
- **Cross-realm integration.** Standing groups for interdependencies — water–energy nexus, food–waste loop, education–communication mesh, governance–economics layer.
- **Feedback loops.** Each chapter tracks its own P_e and CVI quarterly. Results are published openly.

6.3 THE KEYSTONE COHERENCE NODE

Unlike a centralized command, the keystone is a *protocol layer*, not an authority. Its job is to aggregate the chapter-level indices (EAR, WSI, CVI, HRV synchrony, λ_2, DFR, the full slate from §§3–4) into

shared global metrics, and to redistribute those metrics back to all chapters in real time. The analogy is the pacemaker cell in cardiac tissue: a small node whose role is synchronization, not control. It does not tell anyone what to do; it tells everyone what's happening.

This is a deliberate departure from hub-and-spoke models. In network terms, the keystone preserves chapter sovereignty (low hub-betweenness) while enabling coordinated coherence shocks across chapters (high λ_2). The keystone can fail without the network failing.

6.4 PHASED DEPLOYMENT

The Peace Protocols unfold in four phases. They run concurrently rather than strictly sequentially — Phase II begins inside Phase I, and so on — but the dominant emphasis shifts as the deployment matures.

1. **Phase I — Mission Communication.** Open publication of the Protocols (this paper among them). Recruitment through cultural narrative, art, and story. Establishment of seed coherence nodes — ceremony, gathering, ritual — wherever the work begins.
2. **Phase II — Training and Measurement.** Deploy the Peace Engineer curriculum. Establish baseline indices (EAR, WSI, LNR, CVI, HRV) in each emerging chapter. Stand up community dashboards for continuous monitoring.
3. **Phase III — Regional Deployment.** Install regenerative stacks — microgrids, aquaponics, regenerative health centers, modular shelter, waste-to-resource facilities. Stand up chapter-level councils operating by equity law.
4. **Phase IV — Global Synchronization.** Aggregate open metrics through the keystone. Synchronize coherence festivals at solstices and equinoxes. Exchange surplus across chapters. Planetary coherence by resonance, not hierarchy.

6.5 TOPOLOGY AND ROBUSTNESS

Network science is consistent on this point: resilience rises with distributed connectivity and falls with hub centrality (Newman, 2010). The 54-chapter mesh-plus-keystone topology has three properties that matter:

- **High λ_2.** Many equal nodes, well interconnected, mean the network resists being cut.
- **Low hub-betweenness.** No single chapter sits on the critical path between others; failures stay local.
- **Mycelial pattern.** The structure mirrors fungal networks in healthy soil — distributed intelligence without central command. There is a reason that pattern has out-survived almost every other on Earth.

6.6 IMPLICATIONS

The model is fractal: additional chapters can be spun up without breaking coherence — a chapter is a working unit, not a permission slot. Participation is direct, eliminating gatekeepers. Each chapter maintains open ledgers of its indices, so legitimacy and replication are public goods. Synchronization arises from resonance with shared metrics, not from coercion. The 7,777 architecture is, in short, a non-hierarchical attractor: peace is not commanded; it emerges as a network property.

— **Keetá Yeìl**, *Lukaax̌.ádi* · **Rolland M. Gregg IV**, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

7. Validation: How We Will Know It Works

The Peace Protocols are written to be falsifiable. If the framework is right, the predictions below will hold; if they don't, the framework needs revision. I have tried, in what follows, to specify hypotheses sharp enough to be checked, with both quantitative and qualitative arms.

7.1 REALM-LEVEL METRICS

Design. Establish baseline EAR, WSI, LNR (and the rest) for a deployment community. Install the regenerative stack — microgrid, atmospheric water generation, aquaponics, etc. Re-measure quarterly for at least 24 months. Compare against matched control communities with no deployment.

Hypothesis. Realm indices show monotonic increases in deployment communities. WSI may rise from 0.2 to 0.8 after atmospheric water generators come online; EAR may rise from below 0.1 to above 0.6 after Phase III. Controls hold flat or drift downward.

Implication. A statistically significant lift across multiple realms, replicated across multiple sites, validates the claim that regenerative decentralization raises P_e in practice and not only in theory.

7.2 HUMAN-LEVEL METRICS: CVI & HRV COHERENCE

Design. Mixed-method surveys (WHO health scales, dignity assessments, freedom indices). Track debt-to-income for DFR. Equip a representative cohort with HRV biofeedback devices.

Hypothesis. CVI rises in deployment communities relative to controls; HRV coherence improves following coherence shocks (festivals, surplus-sharing events); CVI gains correlate with EAR/WSI/LNR gains, showing cross-level coupling between provisioning sovereignty and human flourishing.

Implication. Confirms the framework's central holistic claim: material sovereignty (P_e) and psychosocial vitality (CVI) co-rise.

7.3 NETWORK ROBUSTNESS

Design. Model each community as a node; interdependencies as edges. Compute λ_2 and hub-betweenness for deployment networks vs. centralized baselines. Simulate node failures (energy outage, water scarcity) and measure cascade extent.

Hypothesis. Decentralized networks show higher λ_2, lower hub-betweenness, and contain cascades locally.

7.4 ECONOMIC OUTCOMES

Design. Measure DFR in deployment vs. control. Track velocity of community currency where one is in circulation. Compare household savings, income stability, and default rates.

Hypothesis. DFR rises as debt is retired or replaced with commons-backed credit; currency velocity correlates with CVI and inversely with violence rates.

7.5 PHYSIOLOGICAL COHERENCE

Design. Equip a full chapter (144 members) with HRV sensors over a coherence-shock event (group ceremony, surplus-sharing festival). Record baseline, during-event, and 30-day-post coherence.

Hypothesis. Group HRV synchrony rises during the event, decays slowly, and correlates with subjective measures of trust, compassion, and shared purpose.

7.6 LONGITUDINAL VIOLENCE AND HEALTH

Design. Track violence rates (crime, domestic abuse, conflict) and health outcomes (preventable disease incidence, mental-health indices) over multi-year windows in deployment vs. control.

Hypothesis. Statistically significant declines in violence rates in deployment communities, correlated with CVI and P_e.

7.7 REPLICABILITY AND PEER REVIEW

All data, indices, and analyses are committed to be open-source. Each chapter publishes a quarterly ledger of its measurements. Findings will be submitted to peer-reviewed journals in sustainability science, systems theory, behavioral medicine, and Indigenous studies. Validation should not depend on trust in narrative; it should depend on replicable evidence.

7.8 SYNTHESIS

Together, these pathways constitute a research program. If realm indices rise as predicted, if CVI co-moves with them, if cascades stay local, if DFR climbs, if HRV synchrony rises with coherence shocks, and if violence falls in deployment communities — then the Peace Protocols stand validated not as moral philosophy but as engineering. If any of these fail, we will publish the failure and revise the model. That is the deal.

— Keetá Yeìl, *Lukaax̱.ádi* · Rolland M. Gregg IV, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

8. Discussion: Flow Networks & Resonance

The Peace Protocols sit inside two larger conversations in contemporary science. The first is well-established and increasingly mainstream: *flow-network science*, particularly the work of Sally Goerner and her colleagues. The second is more speculative and I want to flag it as such: *resonance physics* applied to social systems, drawing on the broader tradition of harmonic analysis and, more provisionally, on the Aether Physics Model of Thomson and Bourassa.

8.1 GOERNER'S FLOW-NETWORK SCIENCE

Goerner, Lietaer, and Ulanowicz (2009) — building on Ulanowicz's decades of ecosystem network analysis — establish that all vital flow systems, whether biological, ecological, or economic, optimize three properties simultaneously:

1. **Efficiency.** The ability to channel resources effectively from source to use.
2. **Resilience.** The ability to absorb shocks through redundancy and buffering.
3. **Inclusivity.** The breadth of distribution, ensuring flows reach all participants.

Their key empirical finding is that *systems collapse when any one of these three is pursued at the expense of the others*. Hyper-efficiency without redundancy produces brittle global supply chains. Hyper-redundancy without efficiency produces stagnation. Hyper-concentration of flow in a few elite hubs produces the "wealth condensation" instability that historically precedes collapse.

The Peace Protocols map directly onto Goerner's triad. EAR, WSI, LNR, and the other realm indices measure *efficiency* of regenerative provisioning. Redundant localized systems — multiple water sources, microgrids in parallel — provide *resilience*. SPR (Sovereignty Participation Ratio) and CCI (Cultural Continuity Index) ensure *inclusivity*, distributing flows across the social body rather than concentrating them at the top.

P_e captures the efficiency arm of Goerner's triad; CVI encodes resilience and inclusivity. Higher λ_2 and lower hub-betweenness — both engineered by the 7,777 deployment topology — are exactly the structural properties Goerner's framework prescribes. The Peace Protocols, in this sense, are an operationalization of Goerner: they take a published-science description of what vital networks look like, and they specify both the indices to measure them and the deployment to build them.

8.2 A NOTE ON RESONANCE AND THE AETHER PHYSICS MODEL

The Resonance Gain idea developed in the broader literature on coupled-oscillator systems — and its more speculative extension to a structured-aether substrate via Thomson and Bourassa's Aether Physics Model (2003) — deserves a careful framing here.

The *Aether Physics Model* is a non-mainstream physics framework that proposes space is structured as a lattice of spinning magnetic dipoles, from which mass, charge, and spin emerge. It is not widely accepted in conventional physics, and I do not claim its physical interpretation here. What I claim is more modest and, I think, defensible: the *mathematical structure* of resonance — the property that coupled oscillators in phase amplify their amplitudes constructively, while oscillators out of phase cancel — is well-established physics, used routinely across acoustics, electrical engineering, and quantum systems (superconductivity being the canonical example). The Resonance Gain idea is, at the social level, an *analogy* to that physics — useful for thinking, not a literal claim that communities are quantum systems.

What the analogy buys us is this: when a community's individuals, institutions, and technologies align in shared purpose — when the phase distribution narrows — the community produces more *per unit of input* than the sum of its parts predicts. Anyone who has worked in a high-performing team has felt this. The Peace Protocols are an attempt to design for it rather than wait for it.

Whether the Aether Physics Model is eventually accepted by mainstream physics is independent of whether the Peace Protocols work. I include it as one of the influences on my thinking; I do not stake the engineering on it.

8.3 THE INVERSION, RESTATED

SWFQW weaponized capacitance, conductance, and inductance to destabilize. Savings accounts (capacitance) became fragile reservoirs drained by inflation. Supply chains (conductance) were throttled to control flows. Labor inertia (inductance) was exploited to enforce compliance. The Peace Protocols invert each:

- **Capacitance (C_e).** Community-owned infrastructure builds buffers, not vulnerabilities. A microgrid is a capacitor that cannot be drained by inflation.
- **Conductance (G_e).** Open-source mesh flows maximize transparency and minimize loss. A mesh network is a conductance that cannot be throttled by a monopoly.
- **Inductance (L_e).** Cultural continuity sustains positive inertia. A living language and an active ceremony are inductances that cannot be twisted into compliance.

The mathematics is the same. The sign is reversed. The watershed wins.

8.4 ABUNDANCE AS A STABLE ATTRACTOR

Scarcity-based systems are *structurally* unstable. Concentrated wealth produces inequality, which produces unrest, which produces collapse. Fragile supply chains amplify shocks. Debt expansion creates bubbles. The historical record of scarcity-based political economies is a record of cyclical crises punctuating long unraveling.

Abundance systems, by contrast, stabilize. Local provisioning shortens feedback loops, reducing overshoot. Distributed flows raise resilience. Inclusivity provides legitimacy and reduces conflict. The math is consistent with millennia of Indigenous practice — reciprocity, balance, the long view — that long predates anyone's Lyapunov function. We did not invent this. We are formalizing what our elders already lived.

8.5 IMPLICATIONS

1. **For systems science.** The Peace Protocols make Goerner's triad operational with realm-level indices that can be measured today.
2. **For governance.** Decentralizing provisioning and raising sovereignty can be justified not only ethically but on the mathematics of dynamical stability.
3. **For economics.** Debt-based scarcity is dynamically inefficient — leaking work into oscillation. Regenerative abundance is the efficient configuration.
4. **For technology.** Regenerative stacks (energy, water, food, shelter, manufacturing, communication) are the physical infrastructure of CVI and P_e, not adjacent to them.

— Keetá Yeìl, *Lukaax̣ádi* · Rolland M. Gregg IV, *MacGregor — PeaceProtocols.org*

9. Conclusion: Peace as the Efficient Attractor

9.1 FROM CONTROL TO COHERENCE

The twentieth century invested enormously in control architectures — systems that modeled societies as circuits to be steered through scarcity, debt, and information manipulation. Those systems were technically rigorous and morally bankrupt, and they have produced, on schedule, the cascade of crises they were structurally designed to produce. The Peace Protocols demonstrate that the same rigor, with sovereignty and regeneration as the design variables, yields a different attractor entirely: peace is not a utopian aspiration but a *dynamically stable equilibrium*, the efficient configuration of human civilization when human civilization is allowed to be one.

9.2 THE MATHEMATICAL SYNTHESIS

Two indices stand at the heart of the framework. P_e measures external provisioning efficiency: as dependencies decline, peace efficiency rises directionally without bound. CVI measures internal human vitality: as scarcity, debt, and dependency fall, human flourishing rises without bound. Theorem 1 of §2.4 proves that, under the coupled dynamics specified there and the natural-feedback conditions $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, the Abundance Equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable, with a basin of attraction that includes any state of positive sovereignty.

Stated plainly: *when communities provision themselves, they become measurably more peaceful, more resilient, and more economically efficient than when they are provisioned from outside.* The math agrees with the elders.

9.3 THE FINAL EQUATION

THE PEACE PROTOCOLS, IN ONE LINE

Peace = Abundance + Decentralization + Coherence

Abundance — flows optimized for efficiency, resilience, and inclusivity. Decentralization — power and provisioning returned to the watershed. Coherence — alignment across the Seven Sovereign Bodies and the Twelve Resource Realms. Each term is operationalized in the indices above. The equation is not a slogan; it is a deployment plan.

9.4 WHAT THIS COSTS AND WHAT IT RETURNS

I want to close the way I opened — with the barge. The Peace Protocols are not abstract for me. The barge cost my village roughly two million dollars a year, every year, for as long as I can remember. Multiply that across the thousand or so remote villages around the Pacific Rim alone — Yakutat, Hoonah, Klukwan, Old Harbor, Atka, the dozens of First Nations communities along the Inside Passage, the outer islands of Hawai‘i, the western coast of Vancouver Island, the fjords of Patagonia, the small islands of Polynesia — and the order of magnitude is in the billions of dollars per year. That is wealth leaving communities that have salmon, wind, sun, tide, and rain in abundance.

An EAR ratchet from below 0.1 toward above 0.9, achieved through licensed catalyzed-fusion power, basalt-composite manufacturing, and the trained corps of Peace Engineers described in §6, returns that wealth to the watersheds that generated it. CVI follows. Violence falls. Health rises. The Tlingit phrase for what happens next is one I will not translate here, because some words should only be spoken in their own tongue. The English approximation is: *the village remembers how to be itself.*

9.5 CLOSING SYNTHESIS

- Scarcity is fragile; abundance is stable.
- Dependency is inefficient; sovereignty is efficient.
- Fragmentation is entropic; coherence is harmonic.
- The mathematics that was once used to enslave can be used, with the sign reversed, to liberate.

The Peace Protocols are not optional. They are the engineering of a watershed that knows how to stay one — for Yakutat, for every village like it, and ultimately for the planet that holds them.

> *"If you want to find the secrets of the universe, think in terms of energy, frequency, and vibration." — Nikola Tesla*
>
> *"To change something, build a new model that makes the existing model obsolete." — R. Buckminster Fuller*
>
> *"In our language, there is no word for trash." — Lukaax̱.ádi teaching*

In aax̱.ádi gunalchéesh.

— **Keetá Yeil** *of the* **Lukaax̱.ádi Clan**, **Rolland M. Gregg IV** *of the* **MacGregor Clan**

Yakutat, Alaska · May 2026 · PeaceProtocols.org

References & Notes

CITED WORKS

Anonymous. (circa 1979). *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars.* Provenance disputed; widely circulated technical manuscript on socio-economic control theory. Referenced here as the inverse template for the Peace Protocols.

Coats, C. (1996). *Living Energies: An Exposition of Concepts Related to the Theories of Viktor Schauberger.* Gateway.

Dunbar, R. I. M. (1992). Neocortex size as a constraint on group size in primates. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 22(6), 469–493.

Fuller, R. B. (1969). *Operating Manual for Spaceship Earth.* Southern Illinois University Press.

Goerner, S. J. (2015). Regenerative development: The art and science of creating kin-domains and the social fabric of life. *Cosmos & History*, 11(2), 167–186.

Goerner, S. J., Lietaer, B., & Ulanowicz, R. E. (2009). Quantifying economic sustainability: Implications for free-enterprise theory, policy, and practice. *Ecological Economics*, 69(1), 76–81.

Graeber, D. (2011). *Debt: The First 5,000 Years.* Melville House.

Lehrer, P. M., & Gevirtz, R. (2014). Heart rate variability biofeedback: How and why does it work? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 756.

McCraty, R., & Childre, D. (2010). Coherence: Bridging personal, social, and global health. *Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine*, 16(4), 10–24.

Newman, M. E. J. (2010). *Networks: An Introduction.* Oxford University Press.

Schauberger, V. (1933/1998). *The Water Wizard: The Extraordinary Properties of Natural Water* (C. Coats, Trans.). Gateway. (Original work published 1933.)

Thomson, D. W., & Bourassa, J. (2003). *Secrets of the Aether: Unified Force Theory, Dark Matter and Consciousness.* Aenor Trust. (Cited as inspiration; physical interpretations remain non-mainstream and are flagged accordingly in §8.2.)

Ulanowicz, R. E. (2009). *A Third Window: Natural Life Beyond Newton and Darwin.* Templeton Foundation Press.

METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

On the dynamical system of §2.4. The coupled system in Equations (1)–(2) is one of several natural specifications consistent with the qualitative dynamics of §2.3. Theorem 1 is proven for this specification under the stated parameter conditions; the realm-coupling term $\gamma_i \kappa$ and the vitality-aggregation weights w_i may be extended or replaced without affecting the conclusion, provided the natural-feedback conditions $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ are preserved. Empirical work in the deployment communities will calibrate the functional forms of α_i, γ_i, and λ from data.

On Quantum AetherDynamics (§8.2). The Aether Physics Model is acknowledged as an influence on the author's thinking about resonance and coherence; its physical interpretations are not mainstream and the Peace Protocols' engineering claims do not depend on them.

On the validation ratios cited in §7.1. The illustrative ratios (WSI 0.2 → 0.8; EAR below 0.1 → above 0.6) are engineering targets grounded in the technology stack of §4. Measured outcomes will be published quarterly per §7.7.

On Hartford Van Dyke. Hartford was the author's personal mentor in commercial law, international law, local currencies, and systems theory. He is cited in the Foreword as a teacher of habits of thought; no specific legal

conclusions of his are relied upon in the engineering or empirical claims of this paper, which stand on the published sources above.

On Yakutat figures. The "two million dollar barge" figure cited throughout is a long-standing community estimate of annual diesel-import cost for the village during the author's childhood; precise audited figures will be included in the validation publications when the Yakutat ReGen Hub deployment is in baseline measurement.

In aax̲.ádi gunalchéesh.

— Keetá Yeìl, *Lukaax̲.ádi* · Rolland M. Gregg IV, *MacGregor*

PeaceProtocols.org · May 2026